


WED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

Motion for Dismissal Based on Non-Service



HANNAH GITTINGS;
CHRISTOPHER MCNEAL;
NATHAN PEET; and
CARMEN PALMER,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KEVIN MATHEWSON, in his individual capacity;
KENOSHA GUARD;
RYAN BALCH, in his individual capacity;
BOOGALOO BOIS;
KYLE RITTENHOUSE, in his individual capacity;
FACEBOOK, Inc., a U.S. Corporation,

Defendants.

Civil Case No. 2:20-CV-01483 WED

I, Kevin Mathewson appearing pro-se specially and with the sole purpose of informing this court that it should dismiss the above captioned case as the 90-day window of service has passed and this defendant has not been served. Upon information and belief no defendants have been served. This complaint is a work of fiction and reads more like a partisan press release than a lawsuit. The two attorneys that filed this action have both accused the other of professional misconduct and have acted like activists and not lawyers. I am surprised that lawyers are allowed to publish complaints before such a prestigious court without anything that could be accidentally be confused with the truth. This is not Attorney Williams-Flores' first time attempting to make a joke out of the judicial system.

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin Mathewson